

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.splo.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

26 Oct 2004 Date: Chastie I hande To: Paula Borden From: Christian L Fronda Application/Control Number: 10/006,909 Art Unit: 1652 Fax No.: 650-327-3231 Phone No.: (571)272-0929 Voice No.: 650-330-0900 Return Fax No.: (703) 872-9306 Re: Interview Summary for Serial No. 10/006,909 CC: X For Review **For Comment** For Reply **Per Your Request**

Comments:

Attached is a copy of the Interview Summary for Serial No. 10/006,909.

Number of pages 2 including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/006,909 **KEASLING ET AL.** Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Christian L Fronda 1652 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Christian L Fronda. (3)Paula Borden. (2) Ponnathapura Achutamurthy. (4) Jack Newman. Date of Interview: 26 October 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . . Claim(s) discussed: 1-23. Identification of prior art discussed: Hoshino et al. (EP 0955363 A2). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed rejection of claims 1-23 under 35 USC 112, 1st written description, rejection of claims 1, 12, 13, 22, and 23 under 35 USC 102(b). Applicants proposed claim amendments which may overcome the stated rejections. Applicants intend to draft claims for examiner's review... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Muster Thomas